

Dr. Doug DeSalles
107 San Antonio Way
Sacramento, CA 95819

10/20/95

Dear Doug,

After writing you early this morning with the idea that just popped into my mind, that you expand your idea into a book, that kept returning to mind as I drove to my protime, then as I walked inside that building, then as I drove to my p.t. and waited for the therapists to get there, and a few other ideas emerged. On the chance that you may be interested, I send them.

One is that because your time is limited and because Hal has done some related work, you consider ^{him as} a collaboration. Another is that you expand it to all the acknowledged shooting, into "The Magic Bullets." Although a fair amount of what you would use is not new in the field, it will be to most readers and then that information has never been drawn together and pointed this way. It can be an important book, at least for history's record, and I think it would be interesting.

You have some small publishers out there. Perhaps you can see if there may be publishing interest before you write.

I even thought of how I'd start such a book, with all it can be used for not suggested in what I now say:

The most prestigious of forensic scientists, Mr. Lee, of the Connecticut State Police, as an expert ^{defense} witness in the prosecution of O.J. Simpson for a double murder, summarized what was wrong with the alleged evidence in that case by saying, "something is wrong." That can be said about all the physical evidence in the official investigations of the assassination of President Kennedy for in that case it is that more than "something" is "wrong." Everything is wrong in the JFK case.

Lee's Simpson case testimony is that just about all the evidence relating to those ~~killings~~ killings is tainted. He was one of several defense experts who actually testified that some of the evidence was manufactured by the police.

That - that evidence was manufactured - is also true in the JFK assassination case.

Beginning with the shooting and what relates to it.

In the Simpson case the ^{record} ~~evidence~~ indicates that evidence was planted by the police and that the prosecution dedicated itself to making all that tainted evidence appear to be both solid and untainted.

This again parallels the actualities of the JFK case.

The Los Angeles police immediately assumed Simpson's lone guilt.

That is precisely what J. Edgar Hoover, ^{director} ~~director~~ vaunted founding or the FBI did and like those Los Angeles police he did this immediately

(This can easily be expanded.)

The Warren Commission, appointed the week after the JFK assassination, not only had to face the case Hoover decided upon the afternoon of that assassination, the case it knew would not stack, would not survive critical examination of it. It still had to conclude the same thing, that Oswald was the lone assassin, knowing it could not get away with what the FBI had and continued to get away with.

(Use executive sessions of 12/5 and 1/21)

The Commission began with the determination to find that Oswald was the lone assassin. (outline of work and what its published and unpublished records show.)

It also began with the realization that it dared not cross Hoover, the belief that dominated all its work. (Use outline of its work and part of 1/21 ex. sess.)

(Use ~~LEI~~ on 40 millions deaths - from a non-conspiracy?)

(Use also excerpts from CD1 I used in WW I.)

The alleged corpus delicti evidence begins with the bullets ^{alleged;y} that were fired that day in that crime. ^{we} examine that evidence and we proved and conclude that the Commission's "solution" was known publicly to be false.

The basis of the Commission's claimed evidence - and at best it is no more than ~~as-is~~ ^{phony} - is what came to be known as its "single-bullet/theory." In that theory, which the Commission and its media pals regarded as beyond question. ↓

But the FBI and the Secret Service laughed ~~at~~ ^{that} this Commission theory with ^{neither} which ~~it~~ never ever agreed.

But in order to do that the FBI had to ignore some of the known shooting. It first did this in that special report Johnson ordered of ~~it~~ ^{the} the evening of that assassination. ^{But} ~~in~~ report, five of the fanciest volumes to look at, if believed, ended the basis for the Commission's coming single-bullet Theory before it was articulated. The FBI said that the President and he alone was struck by the first and third bullets fired during the crime; ~~that those bullets hit nobody else;~~ and that Texas Governor John B. Connally and he alone was struck by the second bullet.

In fact this FBI report that was supposed to be absolutely definitive, does not mention all the known shooting. It also does not even mention "cause of death."

In five full volumes!

Nonetheless, in the Commission's "solution" that ^{bullet} single-bullet theory

although the Commission perpetrated a known fraud with this part of its "solution" people not wanting to believe that there had been a conspiracy
✓ I began to doze off and stopped a while ago but I think you can see what I suggest.

Best,

Harold